

• Supplementary File •

Empowering Over-the-Air Personalized Federated Learning via RIS

Wei Shi^{1,2}, Jiacheng Yao^{1,2}, Jindan Xu^{3*}, Wei Xu^{1,2*}, Lexi Xu⁴ & Chunming Zhao^{1,2}

¹National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China;

²Purple Mountain Laboratories, Nanjing 211111, China;

³School of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798, Singapore;

⁴Research Institute, China United Network Communications Corporation, Beijing 100048, China

Appendix A Proof of Theorem 1

By substituting the RIS phase shifts in Theorem 1, the mean of $\ell_{m,k}$ for $k \in \mathcal{K}_m$ is calculated as

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell_{m,k}] = \frac{\sqrt{p_k}}{\lambda_m} \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_{i,k} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}^H \Theta_i \mathbf{h}_{i,k} \right\} \right]. \quad (\text{A1})$$

1) For $i = m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,m,m}^H \Theta_m \mathbf{h}_{m,k} \right\} \right] &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{h}_{p,m,m}^H \Theta_m \mathbf{h}_{m,k} \right] \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N h_{p,m,m,n}^* \left| h_{m,k,n} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*} \right| \right] \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}N}{2} \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[h_{m,k,n} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*} \right] \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(b)}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}N}{2|\mathcal{K}_m|} \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}N}{2|\mathcal{K}_m|} \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n} \right] \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(c)}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}N}{2|\mathcal{K}_m|} \frac{\sqrt{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \pi}{2} = \frac{\pi N}{4\sqrt{|\mathcal{K}_m|}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

where (a) is due to the independence between different channels and $\mathbb{E} \left[|h_{p,m,m,n}^*| \right] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$ [1], (b) follows from the identically distributed characteristic of the random variable (RV) $h_{m,k,n} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}$ for different $k \in \mathcal{K}_m$, and (c) comes from the fact that $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, |\mathcal{K}_m|)$ [2, 3].

2) For $i \neq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}^H \Theta_i \mathbf{h}_{i,k} \right\} \right] &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}^H \Theta_i \mathbf{h}_{i,k} \right] \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N h_{p,i,m,n}^* e^{-j\angle h_{p,i,i,n}} h_{i,k,n} \frac{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k',n}^*}{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k',n}^*} \right] \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(d)}}{=} N \cdot \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[h_{p,i,m,n}^* \right] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-j\angle h_{p,i,i,n}} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[h_{i,k,n} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k',n}^*}{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k',n}^*} \right] \right\} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where (d) exploits the independence of $\mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}$, $\mathbf{h}_{p,i,i}$, $\mathbf{h}_{i,k}$, and $\mathbf{h}_{i,k'}$, and the last equality comes from $h_{p,i,m,n} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$.

Then, by substituting (A2) and (A3) into (A1), it yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell_{m,k}] = \frac{\sqrt{p_k} \beta_{m,k}}{\lambda_m} \frac{\pi N}{4\sqrt{|\mathcal{K}_m|}} > 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

* Corresponding author (email: jindan.xu@ntu.edu.sg, wxu@seu.edu.cn)

In addition, the mean of $\ell_{m,k'}$ for $k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}$ and $m' \neq m$ is calculated as

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell_{m,k'}] = \frac{\sqrt{P_{k'}}}{\lambda_m} \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_{i,k'} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}^H \Theta_i \mathbf{h}_{i,k'} \right\} \right]. \quad (\text{A5})$$

1) For $i = m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,m,m}^H \Theta_m \mathbf{h}_{m,k'} \right\} \right] &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{h}_{p,m,m}^H \Theta_m \mathbf{h}_{m,k'} \right] \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \left| h_{p,m,m,n}^* \right| h_{m,k',n} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}{\left| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^* \right|} \right] \right\} \\ &= N \cdot \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| h_{p,m,m,n}^* \right| \right] \mathbb{E} \left[h_{m,k',n} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*}{\left| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^* \right|} \right] \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

2) For $i = m'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,m',m}^H \Theta_{m'} \mathbf{h}_{m',k'} \right\} \right] &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{h}_{p,m',m}^H \Theta_{m'} \mathbf{h}_{m',k'} \right] \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N h_{p,m',m,n}^* e^{-j\angle h_{p,m',m',n}^*} h_{m',k',n} \frac{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} h_{m',k',n}^*}{\left| \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} h_{m',k',n}^* \right|} \right] \right\} \\ &= N \cdot \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[h_{p,m',m,n}^* \right] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-j\angle h_{p,m',m',n}^*} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[h_{m',k',n} \frac{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} h_{m',k',n}^*}{\left| \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} h_{m',k',n}^* \right|} \right] \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

3) For $i \neq m$ and $i \neq m'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Re \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}^H \Theta_i \mathbf{h}_{i,k'} \right\} \right] &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{h}_{p,i,m}^H \Theta_i \mathbf{h}_{i,k'} \right] \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N h_{p,i,m,n}^* e^{-j\angle h_{p,i,i,n}^*} h_{i,k',n} \frac{\sum_{k'' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k'',n}^*}{\left| \sum_{k'' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k'',n}^* \right|} \right] \right\} \\ &= N \cdot \Re \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[h_{p,i,m,n}^* \right] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-j\angle h_{p,i,i,n}^*} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[h_{i,k',n} \frac{\sum_{k'' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k'',n}^*}{\left| \sum_{k'' \in \mathcal{K}_i} h_{i,k'',n}^* \right|} \right] \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

Then, by substituting (A6), (A7) and (A8) into (A5), it yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell_{m,k'}] = 0. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Appendix B Proof of Proposition 1

By directly applying Theorem 1 and substituting the parameters in Proposition 1 into the expectation of the estimated global gradient $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t}] &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \mathbb{E}[\ell_{m,k}] \mathbf{g}_{m,t,k} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{u_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m' \leq M \\ m' \neq m}} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} \mathbb{E}[\ell_{m,k'}] \mathbf{g}_{m',t,k'} + \mathbb{E}[\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m,t}] \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \frac{1}{\sigma_{m,t,k}} (\mathbf{g}_{m,t,k} - u_{m,t,k} \mathbf{1}) + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{u_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \mathbf{1} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \mathbf{g}_{m,t,k} = \mathbf{g}_{m,t}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

Therefore, the expectation of the estimated global gradient $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t}$ is equal to the ground-truth global gradient $\mathbf{g}_{m,t}$ for $m \in [M]$, which ensures the unbiasedness of gradient transmission [4]. This completes the proof.

Appendix C Proof of Proposition 2

To begin with, we formulate the MSE of gradient estimation for cluster m as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}_m &= \mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathbf{g}_{m,t} - \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t}\|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \ell_{m,k} \mathbf{g}_{m,t,k} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{u_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m' \leq M \\ m' \neq m}} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} \ell_{m,k'} \mathbf{g}_{m',t,k'} + \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m,t} - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \mathbf{g}_{m,t,k} \right\|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E} \left\| \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \left(\ell_{m,k} - \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \right) \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t,k} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m' \leq M \\ m' \neq m}} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} \ell_{m,k'} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{m',t,k'} + \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m,t} \right\| \right\|^2 \\
 & \stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \left(\ell_{m,k} - \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \right)^2 \sigma_{m,t,k}^2 D + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m' \leq M \\ m' \neq m}} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{m'}} \ell_{m,k'} \sigma_{m',t,k'}^2 D + \frac{\sigma^2 D}{\lambda_m^2} \\
 & \stackrel{(c)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} p_k \bar{h}_{m,k}^2 \sigma_{i,t,k}^2 D + \sigma^2 D \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_m^2} - 2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sqrt{p_k} \bar{h}_{m,k} \sigma_{m,t,k}^3 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_m} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}^4 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|^2}, \tag{C1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is due to the definition of $\bar{g}_{m,t,k}$, (b) exploits the statistics of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t,k}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{m,t}$, and (c) comes from the definition of $\ell_{m,k}$. Note that the optimization of denoising factor is an unconstrained problem. For any given power control, we derive the optimal denoising factor, λ_m , by checking the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \text{MSE}_m}{\partial \lambda_m} &= -2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} p_k \bar{h}_{m,k}^2 \sigma_{i,t,k}^2 D + \sigma^2 D \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_m^3} + 2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sqrt{p_k} \bar{h}_{m,k} \sigma_{m,t,k}^3 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_m^2} = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \lambda_m^* &= |\mathcal{K}_m| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} p_k \bar{h}_{m,k}^2 \sigma_{i,t,k}^2 D + \sigma^2 D}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \sqrt{p_k} \bar{h}_{m,k} \sigma_{m,t,k}^3 D}, \tag{C2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof completes.

As for the optimization of power control, substituting the optimal λ_m^* into (C1), we rewrite MSE_m as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MSE}_m &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}^4 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|^2} - \frac{\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \sqrt{p_k} \bar{h}_{m,k} \sigma_{m,t,k}^3 D \right)^2 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_i} p_k \bar{h}_{m,k}^2 \sigma_{i,t,k}^2 D + \sigma^2 D \right)} \\
 &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}^4 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{b}_m)^2 D}{\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{p} + |\mathcal{K}_m|^2 \sigma^2} \\
 &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{\sigma_{m,t,k}^4 D}{|\mathcal{K}_m|^2} - D \frac{\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{B}_m \mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{p} + |\mathcal{K}_m|^2 \sigma^2}, \tag{C3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{p} \triangleq [\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \dots, \sqrt{p_K}]^T$, $\mathbf{b}_m \triangleq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \bar{h}_{m,k} \sigma_{m,t,k}^3 \mathbf{e}_k$, $\mathbf{A}_m \triangleq |\mathcal{K}_m|^2 \text{diag} \{ \bar{h}_{m,k}^2 \sigma_{i,t,k}^2 \}$, $\mathbf{B}_m \triangleq \mathbf{b}_m \mathbf{b}_m^T$, and \mathbf{e}_k is the Kronecker delta vector with $[\mathbf{e}_k]_k = 1$. Now, we formulate an equivalent power control optimization problem for minimizing the sum MSE as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underset{\mathbf{p}}{\text{maximize}} \quad \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{B}_m \mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{p} + |\mathcal{K}_m|^2 \sigma^2} \\
 & \text{subject to} \quad [\mathbf{p}]_k \leq \sqrt{P_k}, \quad \forall k. \tag{C4}
 \end{aligned}$$

It worth noting that the problem in (C4) is known as the sum of quadratic ratios maximization, which has been addressed in existing works via branch and bound [5], harmony search method [6] and semidefinite relaxation (SDR) technique [7–9].

Appendix D Details of the Proposed Approach

To summarize, we conclude the proposed RIS-enabled personalized AirFL approach in Algorithm D1.

Algorithm D1 Proposed RIS-enabled personalized AirFL approach

- 1: **repeat**
 - 2: The PS broadcasts the latest personalized models $\{\mathbf{w}_{m,t}\}_{m \in [M]}$ to each device.
 - 3: **for** each device $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ **do**
 - 4: Identify its cluster \mathcal{K}_m .
 - 5: Computes its local gradient $\mathbf{g}_{m,t,k}$ based on \mathbf{w}_m and its local dataset \mathcal{D}_k .
 - 6: Normalize its local gradient as $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t,k} \triangleq \frac{1}{\sigma_{m,t,k}} (\mathbf{g}_{m,t,k} - u_{m,t,k} \mathbf{1})$.
 - 7: Upload the mean $u_{m,t,k}$, standard deviation $\sigma_{m,t,k}$, and its cluster identity m to the PS.
 - 8: **end for**
 - 9: The PS configures N RISs according to the specific clusters $\{\mathcal{K}_m\}_{m=1}^M$ and $\theta_{m,n} = -\mathcal{L}_{p,m,m,n}^* + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} h_{m,k,n}^*$.
 - 10: The PS calculates the power control p_k for each device and the denoising factor λ_m for each cluster according to the unbiased strategy or the MMSE design, and then feedback the selected power $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^K$ to each device.
 - 11: Each device simultaneously upload its local gradient to the PS based on the predetermined transmit power p_k .
 - 12: Based on the received signal, the PS computes an estimated global gradient of cluster m as $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t} = \frac{\Re\{\mathbf{y}_{m,t}\}}{\lambda_m} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \frac{u_{m,t,k}}{|\mathcal{K}_m|} \mathbf{1}$.
 - 13: The PS updates the personalized model for cluster m through $\mathbf{w}_{m,t+1} = \mathbf{w}_{m,t} - \eta_{m,t} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{m,t}$.
 - 14: Set $t = t + 1$.
 - 15: **until** Convergence
-

References

- 1 Xu J D, Yuen C, Huang C W, et al. Reconfiguring wireless environment via intelligent surfaces for 6G: reflection, modulation, and security. *Sci China Inf Sci*, 2023, 66: 130304
- 2 Shi W, Xu J D, Xu W, et al. On secrecy performance of RIS-assisted MISO systems over Rician channels with spatially random eavesdroppers. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 2024, 23: 8357–8371
- 3 Shi W, Xu J D, Xu W, et al. Secure outage analysis of RIS-assisted communications with discrete phase control. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol*, 2023, 72: 5435–5440
- 4 Yao J C, Yang Z H, Xu W, et al. GoMORE: global model reuse for resource-constrained wireless federated learning. *IEEE Wireless Commun Lett*, 2023, 12: 1543–1547
- 5 Shen P P, Chen Y Q, Ma Y. Solving sum of quadratic ratios fractional programs via monotonic function. *Appl Math Comput*, 2009, 212: 234–244
- 6 Jaberipour M, Khorrarn E. Solving the sum-of-ratios problems by a harmony search algorithm. *J Comput Appl Math*, 2010, 234: 733–742
- 7 Yao J C, Xu J D, Xu W, et al. Superimposed RIS-phase modulation for MIMO communications: a novel paradigm of information transfer. *IEEE Trans Wireless Commun*, 2024, 23: 2978–2993
- 8 He Z Y, Xu W, Shen H, et al. Full-duplex communication for ISAC: joint beamforming and power optimization. *IEEE J Sel Areas Commun*, 2023, 41: 2920–2936
- 9 He Z Y, Shen H, Xu W, et al. MSE-based training and transmission optimization for MIMO ISAC systems. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*, 2024, 72: 3104–3121