SCIENCE CHINA

Information Sciences

• MOOP •



August 2021, Vol. 64 184201:1–184201:3 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-019-9917-0

The AIDER system and its clinical applications

Yilin WANG¹, Hong CHENG^{1*}, Jing QIU¹, Anren ZHANG² & Hongchen HE³

¹Center for Robotics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China; ²Chengdu Military General Hospital, Chengdu 610083, China; ³Sichuan University West China Hospital, Chengdu 610041, China

Received 8 March 2019/Revised 26 March 2019/Accepted 1 June 2019/Published online 21 May 2021

Citation Wang Y L, Cheng H, Qiu J, et al. The AIDER system and its clinical applications. Sci China Inf Sci, 2021, 64(8): 184201, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-019-9917-0

Spinal cord injury (SCI) significantly affects thousands of individuals every year. SCI is due to various pathogenic factors such as trauma, inflammation, and tumors. SCI caused spinal neurological dysfunction below the injury plane, e.g., it affects motor, sensory nerve, sphincter, and vegetative nerve function. A robotic exoskeleton system is an emerging rehabilitation therapy for SCI worldwide. For patients with SCI, correction of gait and improvement of the function of the lower limbs during walking are particularly important.

Hybrid assistive limb (HAL) was developed by Sankai It is mainly controlled by surfaceand his team. electromyography (sEMG) signals which are gained by the sensors attached to the surface of muscles. HAL provided assistance to pilots to facilitate easier walking [1, 2]. Lokomat is the first-ever gait-training robot constructed for patients with SCI worldwide and is mainly composed of fixed hips, lower-limbs orthotic exoskeleton, body-weight support system, and treadmill. Some researchers [3-6] have performed clinical experiments to verify the effect of the use of Lokomat on gait rehabilitation. Patients with chronic complete/incomplete SCI have undergone gait rehabilitation training with Lokomat robots, and the results have shown a significant improvement in walking speed, walking distance, Timed Up and Go test results, and Walking Index for Spinal Cord Injury II (WISCI II) score. This study verified the safety and effectiveness of the assistive device for paralyzed patient (AIDER) system, which can improve the walking ability and spinal cord independence of patients with SCI. All aspects of this study were certified by the Ethics Committee of Sichuan University West China Hospital.

The AIDER system. The AIDER system is a robotic exoskeleton designed to assist pilots with SCI in standing, walking, and climbing stairs/slope. The structure of AIDER is shown as Figure 1(d). AIDER is a physically tightly coupled human-robot system comprising pilots, wearable mechanical parts, sensors, motors, and computing devices. Through the implementation of various techniques such as human engineering, computing, control, and mechanics, this collaboration between a pilot and AIDER can provide real-time perception, motor control, human-robot fusion, and in-

formation exchange [7]. The goal of AIDER is to implement this human-robot collaboration to improve stability and balance in pilots. Moreover, this collaboration involves biomechanics, motor coordination, and sensory organization. Further, pilots are able to set the gait speed, length, and mode as needed [8]. Crutches were designed not only to maintain balance while standing and during ambulation but also to control sitting, standing, walking, and stopping [9].

Methods. In total, 10 subjects were selected for this study according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 1(a) and (b)). All subjects provided written informed consent. The international standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury were used to measure the neurological injury level, and classification of injuries was done according to the American spinal injury association impairment scale. The subjects were required to wear AIDER for gait training as shown in Figure 1(e). The safety and effectiveness of walking ability and spinal cord independence were assessed during three visits.

Prior to the study, all of the participants including the staff, therapists and subjects must attend a 1-week curriculum to familiarize them with the basic operation of AIDER and to make additional announcements during the study period. After the week, they had to take an examination, which assessed their mastery of wearing, resizing, and operating AIDER and dealing with potential emergencies. The study was not initiated until all parties passed the examination.

The clinical trials included three visits during which an external therapist who did not take part in any training assessed the walking abilities and spinal cord independence of the subjects. The first visit was conducted during the first day of training, the second visit after one-week training $(7 \pm 2 \text{ days})$, and the third visit after 2-week training $(14\pm 2 \text{ days})$. The 6-minute walking test (6MWT) was considered the main measurement method in this study. The secondary measurement methods included 10-meter walking test (10MWT), Hoffer scale, WISCI II, and spinal cord independence measure (SCIM). The safety index included recording of adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs (SAEs).

^{*} Corresponding author (email: hcheng@uestc.edu.cn)

 $[\]textcircled{C}$ Science China Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Wang Y L, et al. Sci China Inf Sci August 2021 Vol. 64 184201:2

	Age				-75 years o			Modif	fied Ashwo					≥ 2			
Injury		Spinal cord injury (SCI) plane T6-L1						lower lin	ius	Systolic pressure>140 mmHg or diastolic pressu						ssur	
ASI	A classifica	ation			A or B				Blood pres	sure		2	90 mmI	Ig after	drug co	ntrol.	
Standing	g and walki	ng ability		istance of o											al hypote		
Jamanig	, una muna	ing utility	sta	nd, but canı			-					cture and as arthr					ndor
				Sign infor	med conser	nt contract	S		Joint			re bone i					ture
			((2)									Unstabl				
ubject			Height	Weight	ASIA	Injury	Inium				Com	plication		nplicati or funct		ch will l	limit
	Gender	Age	-	-			Injury					Menta			ing diso	rder.	
imber			(cm)	(kg)	level	plane	months		Motor fund	tion		distu	urbance	of com	prehens	ion.	
101	M	41	160	50.2	A	T9	4		wotor runc	2001		ijor orgai					
102	M	31	170	55.5	A	T6	10				Pregna	int wome				d wome	n w
103	М	56	170	68.6	Α	T11	4						Loss of	ng pregi spine st			
104	М	28	160	50.7	А	L1	4		Range of S	pO ₂	Sta	tic SpO2				tion <88	%.
105	F	30	160	50.1	А	T8	84	Veno	us problem			eep vein					
107	M	65	160	55.4	А	L1	24	_	extremit			-					
108	F	21	161	49.3	А	T12	48	Routin	nes of bloo stool	d, urine and		nes of bl ich are e					
109	М	52	170	60.8	А	T9	120		Other situa			situation					
0110	F	47	160	65.2	А	T10	180		Other situa	tions				study.			
tight shan omponent)	4	Left thigh components Left shank components				j	-	K					2		1
	ls ole			components Left shank	Crutches				The	staff			The	The	rapis		
ht shoe so	ls ole		C	Left shank components	Crutches							(e)					
nponent	ls ole			Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches	WT		Results	Subjects number	5taff	2 0103	(e) 0104	0105	• The	erapis	0109	
shoe so	ole the			Left shank components	Crutches	WT		Results	Subjects number First		2 0103 47	()					
shoe so	ole the			Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches	WT			Subjects number	0101 010 54 50	47	0104	0105 69	0107 54	0108	0109 45	
shoe so	ole the			Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches	WT		Results	Subjects number First visit	0101 010		0104	0105	0107	0108	0109	
shoe so	ole the			Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches	WT			Subjects number First visit Second visit Third	0101 010 54 50 61 53	47 49	0104 48 56	0105 69 72	0107 54 60	0108 74 76	0109 45 47	
shoe so	ole the			Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches	WT			Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit	0101 010 54 50	47	0104	0105 69	0107 54	0108	0109 45	
shoe so	ole the			Components	Crutches	WT			Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit First	0101 010 54 50 61 53	47 49	0104 48 56	0105 69 72	0107 54 60	0108 74 76	0109 45 47	
shoe so	ole the			Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches	WT		SCIM	Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit First visit	0101 010 54 50 61 53 64 50 1 1	47 49 52 I	0104 48 56 62 I	0105 69 72 74 I	0107 54 60 I	0108 74 76 77 II	0109 45 47 50 I	
shoe so mponer	6MWT		(d)	Left shank components Left shoc sole components	Crutches				Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit First	0101 010 54 50 61 53 64 56	47 49 52 I	0104 48 56 62	0105 69 72 74	0107 54 60	0108 74 76 77	0109 45 47 50	
t shoe sc imponent	6MWT	- Subject0103	(d)	Left shank components Left shoc sole components	Crutches 10M terthe first week specificit	After the	ject0103	SCIM	Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit First visit Second	0101 010 54 50 61 53 64 50 1 1 11 11	47 49 52 I II	0104 48 56 62 I II	0105 69 72 74 I II	0107 54 60 I I	0108 74 76 77 П П	0109 45 47 50 I II	
shoe so mponent tuining cedilol -	6MWT	 Subject0 10 3 Subject0 10 7 	(d)	Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches 10M terthe first week second — Subjection	After the ject0102 → Sub ject0105 → Sub	ject0103	SCIM	Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit First visit Second visit	0101 010 54 50 61 53 64 50 1 1	47 49 52 I II	0104 48 56 62 I	0105 69 72 74 I	0107 54 60 I	0108 74 76 77 II	0109 45 47 50 I	
shoe so mponent tuining cedilol -	6MWT	 Subject0 10 3 Subject0 10 7 	(d)	Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches IOM terthe first week second	After the ject0102 → Sub ject0105 → Sub	ject0103	SCIM	Subjects number First visit Second visit Third visit First visit Second visit Third visit First first	0101 010 54 50 61 53 64 50 1 1 11	47 49 52 I II	0104 48 56 62 I II	0105 69 72 74 I II	0107 54 60 I I	0108 74 76 77 П П	0109 45 47 50 I II	
shoe so mponer tuining ceolol -	6MWT	 Subject0 10 3 Subject0 10 7 	(d)	Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches 10M terthe first week second — Subjection	After the ject0102 → Sub ject0105 → Sub	ject0103	SCIM Hoffer	Subjects number First Second visit Third visit Second visit Second visit Second visit First visit First visit	0101 010 54 50 61 55 64 50 1 1 11 11 111 11 111 11 0 3	47 49 52 I II III 0	0104 48 56 62 I II III 0	0105 69 72 74 I II III	0107 54 60 I I II 0	0108 74 76 77 II II II 9	0109 45 47 50 I II III 0	
shoe sc nponer	6MWT	 Subject0 10 3 Subject0 10 7 	(d)	Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches IOM terthe first week second	After the ject0102 → Sub ject0105 → Sub	ject0103	SCIM	Subjects number First visit Second visit First visit Second visit Third visit Third visit Second visit	0101 010 54 50 61 53 64 50 1 1 11 11 11 11	47 49 52 I II III	0104 48 56 62 I II III	0105 69 72 74 I II III	0107 54 60 I I I	0108 74 76 77 П П Ш	0109 45 47 50 I II III	
i shoe so imponent s shoe so imponent s sining s sining s sining	6MWT	 Subject0 10 3 Subject0 10 7 	(d)	Left shank components Left shoe sole components	Crutches IOM terthe first week second	After the ject0102 → Sub ject0105 → Sub	ject0103	SCIM Hoffer WISCI	Subjects number First Second visit Third visit Second visit Second visit Second visit First visit First visit	0101 010 54 50 61 55 64 50 1 1 11 11 111 11 111 11 0 3	47 49 52 I II III 0 9	0104 48 56 62 I II III 0	0105 69 72 74 I II III	0107 54 60 I I II 0	0108 74 76 77 II II II 9	0109 45 47 50 I II III 0	

Figure 1 (Color online) The information of the clinical trials and the results. (a) Inclusion criteria; (b) exclusion criteria; (c) the subjects' information; (d) the AIDER system and its structure; (e) training; (f) the results of 6MWT; (g) the results of 10MWT; (h) other results.

The whole training lasted 2 weeks. Every subject participated in a 30-min session/day with 5 sessions held every week. One therapist and four staff members were necessary for training. The therapist was responsible for checking the subjects' temperature, blood pressure, oxyhemoglobin saturation, pulse rate, and heart rate before and after training on each training day. The staff members had to ensure the subjects' safety and record training data, device problems, AEs, and SAEs. The subjects could opt for any 2 days during a week as rest days. In a training session, the subjects could take a rest if they felt tired during training. However, we attempted to adhere to an effective training time of 30 min/day. One session included the following tasks: sitting-to-standing, standing-to-sitting, balance keeping, weight shifting, and walking. If any clinical indicator remained abnormal after repeated measurement, the subject was considered ineligible for participation in the training session that day. If a subject was absent for 3 consecutive days, the subject was removed from the study. After a session, the therapist had to check for any skin abrasions. Skin

abrasions, tumbles, and seriously abnormal vital signs were considered SAEs in this study, and the affected subjects were removed from the study to receive treatment.

Results. Because this study was a self-controlled clinical study, the subjects were required to abstain from wearing AIDER or other orthotics/devices during the first visit. Figure 1 shows that the walking ability and spinal cord independence of the subjects were improved after the 2-week training, which significantly improved their quality of life and contributed to better performance of daily activities.

At the first visit, all patients scored 0 on 6MWT (Figure 1(f)) and 10MWT (Figure 1(g)). The results of 6MWT showed an increasing walking distance over the three visits. Because we expected the scores of 10MWT to decrease, the first visit was not drawn in this figure. Compared with at the second visit, all subjects used less time to complete 10MWT at the third visit. Taken together, these results suggest that walking speed increased after the training with AIDER. Previous studies have shown that improvements in the 6MWT and 10MWT scores are related to increased walking distance and speed. In Figure 1(h), the SCIM score reflects spinal cord independence, with a higher score indicating better spinal cord independence. Accordingly, the results indicate better spinal cord independence after training. Further, the Hoffer classification of most subjects increased to III (community ambulation) after training, suggesting that they could walk with AIDER in daily living environments. The WISCI II score of most subjects could reach level 12 after training, indicating that these patients could walk with AIDER for > 10 m without any other help. The improvement in these indexes indicates that AIDER can provide the better walking ability and spinal cord independence to subjects with SCI.

Subject No. 0106 was excluded from Figure 1(c) because he dropped out before training for personal reasons, and subject No. 0107 was sick with a cold after the third day of training (considered as an AE). Overall, there was no SAE or equipment malfunction observed in this study. While AEs cannot be avoided in any clinical study, our results show that AIDER is safe for gait training and walking assistance.

In conclusion, we were able to identify the safety and effectiveness of AIDER for gait rehabilitation. In the future, we expect AIDER to be used for both gait rehabilitation and routine activities.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by Natural Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFB1302300).

Supporting information Videos and other supplemental documents. The supporting information is available online at info.scichina.com and link.springer.com. The supporting materials are published as submitted, without typesetting or editing. The responsibility for scientific accuracy and content remains entirely with the authors.

References

 Yoshikawa K, Mizukami M, Kawamoto H, et al. Gait training with hybrid assistive limb enhances the gait functions in subacute stroke patients: a pilot study. NeuroRehabilitation, 2017, 40: 87–97

- 2 Holanda L J, Silva P M M, Amorim T C, et al. Robotic assisted gait as a tool for rehabilitation of individuals with spinal cord injury: a systematic review. J NeuroEng Rehabil, 2017, 14: 126
- 3 Esquenazi A, Lee S, Wikoff A, et al. A comparison of locomotor therapy interventions: partial-body weightsupported treadmill, lokomat, and G-EO training in people with traumatic brain injury. J Injury Funct Rehabil, 2017, 9: 839–846
- 4 Kumru H, Benito-Penalva J, Valls-Sole J, et al. Placebocontrolled study of rTMS combined with Lokomat[®] gait training for treatment in subjects with motor incomplete spinal cord injury. Exp Brain Res, 2016, 234: 3447–3455
- 5 Nam K Y, Kim H J, Kwon B S, et al. Robot-assisted gait training (Lokomat) improves walking function and activity in people with spinal cord injury: a systematic review. J NeuroEng Rehabil, 2017, 14: 24
- 6 van Kammen K, Boonstra A M, van der Woude L H V, et al. Differences in muscle activity and temporal step parameters between Lokomat guided walking and treadmill walking in post-stroke hemiparetic patients and healthy walkers. J NeuroEng Rehabil, 2017, 14: 32
- 7 Huang R, Pengl Z N, Cheng H, et al. Learning-based walking assistance control strategy for a lower limb exoskeleton with hemiplegia patients. In: Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2018
- 8 Ahmed A I A, Cheng H, Zhang L W, et al. On-line walking speed control in human-powered exoskeleton systems based on dual reaction force sensors. J Intell Robot Syst, 2017, 87: 59–80
- 9 Tran H T, Cheng H, Lin X C, et al. The relationship between physical human-exoskeleton interaction and dynamic factors: using a learning approach for control applications. Sci China Inf Sci, 2014, 57: 120201