

State feedback stabilization of stochastic nonlinear time-delay systems: a dynamic gain method

Mengmeng JIANG & Xuejun XIE*

Institute of Automation, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China

Received 28 April 2018/Revised 8 June 2018/Accepted 3 August 2018/Published online 11 March 2020

Citation Jiang M M, Xie X J. State feedback stabilization of stochastic nonlinear time-delay systems: a dynamic gain method. *Sci China Inf Sci*, 2021, 64(1): 119202, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-018-9565-7

Dear editor,

During the past few decades, a significant amount of attention has been focused on deterministic time-delay systems. These studies are based on all types of growth conditions on nonlinear functions. In a recent study [1], Zhang et al. obtained particularly good results using a dynamic gain method with wide practical use [2], and proved that restrictive growth conditions are unnecessary and can be removed in the case of state feedback control.

The problem regarding the controller design of stochastic nonlinear systems has been an active area of research; see [3–5] and the references therein. However, similar to deterministic systems, each of these studies also requires some growth conditions on nonlinear functions, such as [6, 7].

Considering stochastic nonlinear systems:

$$\begin{aligned} dx_i &= (x_{i+1} + f_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_i(t-d)))dt \\ &\quad + g_i^T(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_i(t-d))d\omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ dx_n &= (u + f_n(x, x(t-d)))dt \\ &\quad + g_n^T(x, x(t-d))d\omega, \\ x(s) &= \zeta(s), \quad s \in [-d, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ are the system state and control inputs; $\bar{x}_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i)^T$; the drift terms $f_i: \mathbb{R}^{2i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the diffusion terms $g_i = (g_{i1}, \dots, g_{im})^T: \mathbb{R}^{2i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are continuously differentiable with $f_i(0, 0) = 0$ and $g_i(0, 0) = 0$; and ω is an m -dimensional standard Wiener process on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with Ω being a sample space, \mathcal{F} being a σ -field, and P being a probability measure.

The aim of this study is to construct a delay-independent, dynamic state feedback controller such that the equilibrium at the origin of the closed-loop system is globally asymptotically stable in probability without imposing any growth conditions on the nonlinearities.

It should be noted that, although the dynamic gain design idea of the controller in this study stems from [1], it only considers deterministic systems. Compared with [1], the contributions and difficulties of this study are characterized through the following novel features:

(i) The main contribution of this study is to remove restrictive conditions in existing studies for a stochastic nonlinear time-delay system (1). Without imposing any growth conditions on the nonlinearities f_i and g_i , a delay-independent, dynamic state feedback controller is constructed to guarantee that the equilibrium at the origin of the closed-loop system is globally asymptotically stable in probability.

(ii) For a stochastic system (1), the appearance of the diffusion and Hessian terms will inevitably produce many more nonlinear terms, and dealing with them is not easy.

(iii) Because Eq. (1) describes a stochastic nonlinear time-delay system, its stability analysis is much more difficult than its deterministic counterpart.

Preliminary results.

Lemma 1 ([8]). For positive real numbers m, n and a real-valued function $\pi(x, y) > 0$, $|x^m y^n| \leq \frac{m}{m+n} \pi(x, y) |x|^{m+n} + \frac{n}{m+n} \pi(x, y) |y|^{m+n}$.

Lemma 2 ([9]). For a continuous function $f(x, y)$, there exist smooth functions $a(x) \geq 0$, $b(y) \geq 0$, $c(x) \geq 1$ and $d(y) \geq 1$ such that $|f(x, y)| \leq a(x) + b(y)$, $|f(x, y)| \leq c(x)d(y)$.

Lemma 3 ([9]). For the C^1 function $f_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_i(t-d))$ with $f_i^*(0, 0) = 0$, there exist smooth functions $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(x_j) \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}^*(x_j(t-d)) \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, i$, such that $|f_i(\cdot)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^i (\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(x_j) |x_j| + \tilde{\gamma}_{ij}^*(x_j(t-d)) |x_j(t-d)|)$.

Remark 1. By Lemma 3, it is easy to see that continuously differentiable nonlinear functions f_i and g_{ik} can be transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^i (\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(x_j) |x_j| \\ &\quad + \tilde{\gamma}_{ij}^*(x_j(t-d)) |x_j(t-d)|), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ |g_{ik}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^i (\lambda_{i,k,j}(x_j) |x_j| + \lambda_{i,k,j}^*(x_j(t-d)) \\ &\quad \cdot |x_j(t-d)|), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(\cdot) \geq 0$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}^*(\cdot) \geq 0$, $\lambda_{i,k,j}(\cdot) \geq 0$, $\lambda_{i,k,j}^*(\cdot) \geq 0$ are smooth functions.

* Corresponding author (email: xuejunxie@126.com)

Design and analysis of dynamic state feedback controller. For simplicity, for any vector $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T$, we define $\bar{a}_i = (a_1, \dots, a_i)^T$.

Initial step. Take $\xi_1 = x_1$ and $V_1(x_1, l_1) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{l_1})\xi_1^4$, where $l_1(t) \geq 1$ is a dynamic gain to be designed in Step 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V_1 \leq & \left(1 + \frac{1}{l_1}\right) \xi_1^3 x_2^* + 2|\xi_1^3 \xi_2| \\ & + 2|\xi_1^3 f_1| + 3\xi_1^2 |g_1^T g_1| - \frac{l_1}{4l_1^2} \xi_1^4, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\xi_2 = x_2 - x_2^*$. By (2) and Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\xi_1^3 \xi_2| & \leq \frac{3}{2} \xi_1^4 + \frac{1}{2} \xi_2^4, \\ 2|\xi_1^3 f_1| & \leq 2\xi_1^4 \bar{\gamma}_{11}(x_1) + \xi_1^4 \\ & \quad + 4\xi_1^4(t-d)\bar{\gamma}_{11}^*(x_1(t-d)), \\ 3\xi_1^2 |g_1^T g_1| & \leq \xi_1^4 \Psi_{11}(x_1) \\ & \quad + \xi_1^4(t-d)\Psi_{11}^*(x_1(t-d)), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\Psi_{11}(\cdot) \geq 0$ and $\Psi_{11}^*(\cdot) \geq 0$ are smooth functions. Construct the Lyapunov-Krasovskii (L-K) functional $V_{1LK} = V_1(x_1, l_1) + \int_{t-d}^t \xi_1^4(s)(\bar{\gamma}_{11}^*(s) + \Psi_{11}^*(s))ds$. By (4), the following is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V_{1LK} \leq & \left(1 + \frac{1}{l_1}\right) \xi_1^3 x_2^* + \xi_2^4 + \xi_1^4(3 + 2\bar{\gamma}_{11}(x_1) \\ & + 4\bar{\gamma}_{11}^*(x_1) + \Psi_{11}(x_1) + \Psi_{11}^*(x_1)) - \frac{l_1}{4l_1^2} \xi_1^4. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In view of (5) and $l_1 \geq 1$, the virtual controller

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^* = & -\xi_1 \left(\frac{n-1}{4} + 4 + 2\bar{\gamma}_{11}(x_1) + 4\bar{\gamma}_{11}^*(x_1) \right. \\ & \left. + \Psi_{11}(x_1) + \Psi_{11}^*(x_1)\right) \triangleq -\xi_1 \beta_1(x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

leads to $\mathcal{L}V_{1LK} \leq -\xi_1^4 - \frac{n-1}{4}\xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4 - \frac{l_1}{4l_1^2}\xi_1^4$.

Step 2. Construct L-K functional

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 = & V_{1LK} + \frac{1}{l_1} W_2(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} \left(\frac{\xi_1^4}{4} + W_2(x_1, x_2)\right), \\ W_2 = & \frac{1}{4} \xi_2^4 = \frac{1}{4} (x_2 - x_2^*)^4, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $l_2(t) \geq 1$ being a dynamic gain to be designed in the next step. Construct L-K functional $V_{2LK} = V_2 + \int_{t-d}^t \xi_2^4(s)A(s)ds + \int_{t-d}^t \frac{1}{l_1(s)} \xi_1^4(s)B(s)ds$, where $A(\cdot), B(\cdot)$ are appropriate nonnegative smooth functions. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V_{2LK} \leq & -\xi_1^4 - \frac{n-1}{4}\xi_1^4 - \frac{l_1}{4l_1^2}\xi_1^4 + \frac{1}{l_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{l_2}\right) \xi_2^3 \\ & \cdot (x_3^* + x_3 - x_3^*) + \frac{1}{l_1} \xi_1^4 [C(x_1) + B(x_1)] + \left(\frac{1}{l_1(t)} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{l_1(t-d)}\right) \xi_1^4(t-d)B(x_1(t-d)) + \xi_2^4 [1 + D(x_1, x_2) \\ & + A(x_1, x_2)] - \frac{l_1}{l_1^2} W_2(\cdot) - \frac{l_1 l_2 + l_1 \dot{l}_2}{l_1^2 l_2^2} \left(\frac{\xi_1^4}{4} + W_2(\cdot)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $C(\cdot) \geq 0, D(\cdot) \geq 0$ are smooth functions. The gain update law is then designed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{l}_1 = & \max\{-l_1^2 + l_1 \rho_1(x_1), 0\}, \quad l_1(0) = 1, \\ \rho_1(x_1) = & 4[C(x_1) + B(x_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

From (9), it is easy to verify that the gain l_1 has the following properties:

$$0 \leq \dot{l}_1 \leq l_1 \rho_1(x_1), \quad \dot{l}_1 \geq -l_1^2 + l_1 \rho_1(x_1),$$

$$l_1(t) \geq l_1(t-d) \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Using the relationship (10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\dot{l}_1}{4l_1^2} \xi_1^4 \leq & \frac{\xi_1^4}{4} - \frac{1}{4l_1} \xi_1^4 \rho_1(x_1), \quad \frac{1}{l_1(t)} - \frac{1}{l_1(t-d)} \leq 0, \\ -\frac{\dot{l}_1}{l_1^2} W_2(\cdot) - & \frac{l_1 \dot{l}_2 + l_1 \dot{l}_2}{l_1^2 l_2^2} \left(\frac{\xi_1^4}{4} + W_2(\cdot)\right) \\ \leq & -\frac{\dot{l}_2}{l_1 l_2^2} \left(\frac{\xi_1^4}{4} + W_2(\cdot)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using Lemma 1, the following is obtained:

$$2|\xi_2^3(x_3 - x_3^*)| \leq \xi_2^4 + c_3 \xi_3^4, \quad (12)$$

where $\xi_3 = x_3 - x_3^*$, and c_3 is a positive constant.

Substituting (9), (11), and (12) into (8) and designing a virtual controller as

$$\begin{aligned} x_3^* = & -l_1 \xi_2 [n + 1 + A(x_1, x_2) + D(x_1, x_2)] \\ \triangleq & -l_1 \xi_2 \beta_2(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

the following is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V_{2LK} \leq & -\sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j^4 - (n-2) \left(\frac{\xi_1^4}{4} + \xi_2^4\right) \\ & + c_3 \xi_3^4 - \frac{\dot{l}_2}{l_1 l_2^2} \left(\frac{\xi_1^4}{4} + W_2(\cdot)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Using the recursive method, we can obtain the dynamic gains l_1, \dots, l_{n-1} and a series of virtual controllers x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^* given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{l}_1 = & \max\{-l_1^2 + l_1 \rho_1(x_1), 0\}, \\ \dot{l}_k = & \max\{-l_k^2 + l_k \rho_k(\bar{l}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_k), 0\}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ x_1^* = & 0, \quad x_2^* = -\xi_1 \beta_1(x_1), \\ x_j^* = & -l_1 \dots l_{j-2} \xi_{j-1} \beta_{j-1}(\bar{l}_{j-3}, \bar{x}_{j-2}), \\ \xi_{j-1} = & x_{j-1} - x_{j-1}^*, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with $\rho_k(\cdot) > 0, \beta_j(\cdot) > 0$ being smooth functions. The controller

$$u = -l_1 \dots l_{n-1} \beta_n(\bar{l}_{n-2}, x) \quad (16)$$

renders $\mathcal{L}V_{nLK} \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^4 \leq 0$.

Theorem 1. For a stochastic nonlinear time-delay system (1), there is a delay-independent, dynamic state feedback controller (16) such that the closed-loop system has a unique solution in $[-d, \infty)$, and the equilibrium at the origin of the closed-loop systems is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) in probability.

Proof. The proof is divided into two steps.

Step I: We first prove the almost sure boundedness of $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), l_1(t), \dots, l_{n-1}(t)$ in $[-d, \infty)$. It follows from Itô's formula that

$$\begin{aligned} E\{V_{nLK}(x(\sigma_r \wedge t), l(\sigma_r \wedge t), \sigma_r \wedge t)\} \\ \leq V_{nLK}(x(0), l(0), 0), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\sigma_r \triangleq \inf\{t \geq 0 : |x(t)| \geq r\}, r \geq 0, g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^T$. From the definition of V_{nLK} ,

$$\begin{aligned} E\{V_{nLK}(x(\sigma_r \wedge t), l(\sigma_r \wedge t), \sigma_r \wedge t)\} \\ \geq E\left(\left(\frac{1}{4} x_1^4(s) + \frac{1}{4l_1(s)} (x_2(s) - x_2^*(s))^4 + \dots \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4l_1(s) \dots l_{n-1}(s)} (x_n(s) - x_n^*(s))^4\right)\Bigg|_{s=\sigma_r \wedge t}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, it is easy to see from (17) and (18) that $x_1(t)$, $\frac{1}{4l_1(t)}(x_2(t) - x_2^*(t))^4$, \dots , $\frac{1}{4l_1(t)\dots l_{n-1}(t)}(x_n(t) - x_n^*(t))^4$ are bounded almost surely in $[-d, \sigma_\infty)$. It is clear from (8) that the gain $l_1(t)$ is monotonously non-decreasing. We claim that $l_1(t)$ is bounded almost surely in $[-d, \sigma_\infty)$. If not, suppose $\lim_{t \rightarrow \sigma_\infty} l_1(t) = \infty$. Through continuity, $\rho_1(x_1)$ is almost surely bounded from the almost sure boundedness of x_1 . Thus, there is a time instant $0 < T_1 < \sigma_\infty$ such that $-l_1^2(t) + l_1(t)\rho_1(x_1(t)) \leq 0$ on $[T_1, \sigma_\infty)$. From (10), $\dot{l}_1(t) = \max\{-l_1^2(t) + l_1(t)\rho_1(x_1(t)), 0\} = 0$ in $[T_1, \sigma_\infty)$, which contradicts $\lim_{t \rightarrow \sigma_\infty} l_1(t) = \infty$. Hence, $l_1(t)$ is almost surely bounded in $[-d, \sigma_\infty)$, and thus so is $x_2(t)$ based on the definition of $x_2^*(t)$. It is not difficult to see that $\sigma_\infty = \infty$. Hence, it is recursively easy to obtain $l_2(t)$, $x_3(t), \dots, l_{n-1}(t), x_n(t)$, which are bounded almost surely in $[-d, \infty)$.

Step II: It is easy to see that $V_{nLK} \geq \frac{1}{4}\xi_1^4(t) + \frac{1}{4l_1(t)}\xi_2^4(t) + \dots + \frac{1}{4l_1(t)\dots l_{n-1}(t)}\xi_n^4(t)$. In view of the almost sure boundedness of l_i and $l_i \geq 1$, there is a class \mathcal{K}_∞ function $\alpha_1(\cdot)$ such that $V_{nLK} \geq \alpha_1(|x(t)|)$. From the almost sure boundedness of l_i and $l_i \geq 1$, it is easy to see that there is a class \mathcal{K}_∞ function $\alpha_2(\cdot)$ such that $V_{nLK} \leq \alpha_2(\sup_{-d \leq \tau \leq 0} |x(\tau + t)|)$.

Hence, by Theorem 2 in [3], Theorem 1 holds.

Remark 2. From the controller design and stability analysis, we can see that the choice of Lyapunov-Krasovskii function is important.

Conclusion. This study solved the important problem of state feedback stabilization of stochastic nonlinear time-delay systems without imposing any growth conditions on the nonlinearities.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Taishan Scholar Project of Shandong Province of China (Grant No. ts201712040) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61673242).

References

- 1 Zhang X, Lin W, Lin Y. Nonsmooth feedback control of time-delay nonlinear systems: a dynamic gain based approach. *IEEE Trans Automat Contr*, 2017, 62: 438–444
- 2 Zhang X, Liu L, Feng G, et al. Output feedback control of large-scale nonlinear time-delay systems in lower triangular form. *Automatica*, 2013, 49: 3476–3483
- 3 Liu S J, Ge S S, Zhang J F. Adaptive output-feedback control for a class of uncertain stochastic non-linear systems with time delays. *Int J Control*, 2008, 81: 1210–1220
- 4 Wu L G, Gao Y B, Liu J X, et al. Event-triggered sliding mode control of stochastic systems via output feedback. *Automatica*, 2017, 82: 79–92
- 5 Xie X J, Jiang M M. Output feedback stabilization of stochastic feedforward nonlinear time-delay systems with unknown output function. *Int J Robust Nonlin Control*, 2018, 28: 266–280
- 6 Xie X J, Zhao C R, Duan N. Further results on state feedback stabilization of stochastic high-order nonlinear systems. *Sci China Inf Sci*, 2014, 57: 072202
- 7 Gao Y F, Sun X M, Wang W. Decentralized backstepping adaptive output tracking of large-scale stochastic nonlinear systems. *Sci China Inf Sci*, 2017, 60: 120207
- 8 Qian C J, Lin W. A continuous feedback approach to global strong stabilization of nonlinear systems. *IEEE Trans Automat Contr*, 2001, 46: 1061–1079
- 9 Zhang X, Lin Y. Global stabilization of high-order nonlinear time-delay systems by state feedback. *Syst Control Lett*, 2014, 65: 89–95