

A local observability analysis method for a time-varying nonlinear system and its application in the continuous self-calibration system

Qi WANG¹, Lixin WANG^{1*}, Weiwei QIN¹ & Qiang SHEN¹

¹3rd Department, Xi'an High-tech Institution, Xi'an 710025, China

Appendix A The local observability matrix of the constant nonlinear system

A type of discrete-time constant nonlinear system is represented by following state space equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k)) \\ \mathbf{z}(k) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(k) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where, $\mathbf{x}(k) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is an n -dimensional vector; $\mathbf{z}(k) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ is an m -dimensional vector; $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ are $n \times n$ and $m \times n$ constant matrix respectively; $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is a nonlinear bounded mapping.

For equation (A1), there are

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(0) \\ \mathbf{x}(1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(0)) \\ \mathbf{z}(1) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(1) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(0)) \\ \mathbf{x}(2) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(1) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(1)) = \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(0)) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(1)) \\ \mathbf{z}(2) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(2) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(0)) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(1)) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}(k) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(k-1) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k-1)) = \dots = \mathbf{A}^k\mathbf{x}(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{A}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(i)) \\ \mathbf{z}(k) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(k-1) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^k\mathbf{x}(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(i)) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The local observability is defined in [1]. More specifically, a system represented by (A2) is said to be "locally observable" if the system state $\mathbf{x}(k)$ can be determined from the knowledge of $\mathbf{z}(j)$ for $j=k$ to $j=k+n-1$. For $j = [k, k+n-1]$, there are

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{z}(k) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(k) \\ \mathbf{z}(k+1) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k)) \\ \mathbf{z}(k+2) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k)) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k+1)) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}(k+n-1) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{x}(k) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{n-i-2}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(i)) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Let $\mathbf{Q} = \left[\mathbf{C}^T \ (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A})^T \ (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^2)^T \ \dots \ (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{n-1})^T \right]^T$, $\mathbf{Z} = \left[\mathbf{z}(k)^T \ \mathbf{z}(k+1)^T \ \dots \ \mathbf{z}(k+n-1)^T \right]^T$,

$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1}^T \ (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k)))^T \ \dots \ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{n-i-2}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(i)) \right)^T \right]^T$.

* Corresponding author (email: 599047683@qq.com)

Lemma 1. If $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}) = n$, nonlinear equation set

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{A4})$$

has a solution in \mathbf{R}^n .

Proof. Equation (A4) is left by \mathbf{Q}^T and there is

$$\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{A5})$$

There is $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}) = n$, so $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q}$ is reversible and equation (A5) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (\text{A6})$$

Because \mathbf{f} is a nonlinear bounded mapping, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ is also bounded. In other words, there is a real number F_0 which lets $\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq F_0$. \mathbf{Z} is known, so there is a real number Z_0 which lets $\|\mathbf{Z}\| \leq Z_0$. From equation (A6), there is

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}(k)\| &= \left\| (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})^{-1} \right\| (\|\mathbf{Q}^T\| \|\mathbf{Z}\| + \|\mathbf{Q}^T\| \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\|) \\ &\leq \left\| (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})^{-1} \right\| (\|\mathbf{Q}^T\| Z_0 + \|\mathbf{Q}^T\| F_0) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Let $D := \{\mathbf{x}(k) \in \mathbf{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}(k)\| \leq \left\| (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})^{-1} \right\| (\|\mathbf{Q}^T\| Z_0 + \|\mathbf{Q}^T\| F_0)\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$, and mapping P is defined as $P : D \rightarrow D, P\mathbf{x}(k) = (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}))$. It is obviously that mapping P is continuous. Based on the Brouwer fixed point theorem [2], we can know that P has a fixed point in D . Therefore, equation (A4) has a solution in \mathbf{R}^n .

Theorem 1. If $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}) = n$, the discrete-time constant nonlinear system of equation (A1) is locally observable. The matrix \mathbf{Q} is called as the local observability matrix of system (A1).

Proof. From **Lemma 1**, we can know that equation (A4) has a solution in \mathbf{R}^n if $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}) = n$. Therefore, based on the definition of local observability, it is obviously that the discrete-time constant nonlinear system of equation (A1) is locally observable.

References

- 1 Goshen-Meskin D, Bar-Itzhai Y. Observability analysis of piece-wise constant systems-Part I: Theory. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 1992, 28: 1056-1067
- 2 Kozłowski M, Reich S. Fixed point theory in modular function spaces. Nonlinear Analysis Theory Methods and Applications, 2015, 14: 935-953