

Containment control for singular multi-agent systems based on internal model compensator

Hongjing Liang^{1*}, Yu Zhou¹ & Huaguang Zhang²

¹College of Engineering, Bohai University, Jinzhou 121013, China.;

²College of Information Science and Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China

Appendix A Importance

Theorem 1:

Proof. Based on the *Definition 1*, the stability of the pair (E_z, A_c) should be proved. Thus, there exists a matrix T such that A_c and E_z can be transformed into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_c &= TA_cT^{-1} \\ \hat{E}_z &= TE_zT^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_{ci} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_i + B_i K_{1i} & B_i K_{2i} \\ G_2 C_i & G_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{E}_{zi} &= \begin{pmatrix} E_i & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{psm} \end{pmatrix}, \\ & i = 1, 2, \dots, N\end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{A}_c = \text{block diag}\{\hat{A}_{c1}, \hat{A}_{c2}, \dots, \hat{A}_{cN}\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}T^{2k-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_N^k \otimes I_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{psm} \end{pmatrix} \\ T^{2k} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I_N^k \otimes I_{psm} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

in which $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and I_N^k represents the k -row of identity matrix I_N . The transformational matrix is chosen as $T = \left((T^1)^T \ (T^2)^T \ \dots \ (T^{2N})^T \right)^T$. \hat{A}_{ci} can be rewritten as $\hat{A}_{ci} = \mathfrak{A}_i + \mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{K}_i$, with $\mathfrak{A}_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_i & \mathbf{0} \\ G_2 C_i & G_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathfrak{B}_i = \begin{pmatrix} B_i \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$. By *Lemma 3*, there exists the gain matrix $\mathcal{K}_i = (K_{1i}, K_{2i})$ such that $(\mathfrak{E}_i, \hat{A}_{ci})$ is stable, in which $\mathfrak{E}_i = \hat{E}_{zi} = \begin{pmatrix} E_i & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{psm} \end{pmatrix}$, one gets $(\hat{E}_{zi}, \hat{A}_{ci})$ is stable, equivalently, (E_z, A_c) is stable.

Theorem 2:

Proof. Consider the following M equations:

$$\begin{aligned}E_z X_l (I_{2N} \otimes S) &= A_c X_l + B_c l, \\ & l \in \mathcal{M}\end{aligned}\tag{A1}$$

where, $\mathcal{M} = \{N+1, N+2, \dots, N+M\}$, $X_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N(n+psm) \times 2Nq}$. Since (E_c, A_c) is stable, it has a unique solution X_l .

* Corresponding author (email: lianghongjing99@163.com)

Let

$$X_l = \begin{pmatrix} X_{l11} & X_{l12} \\ X_{l21} & X_{l22} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $X_{l11}, X_{l12} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn \times Nq}$, $X_{l31}, X_{l32} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nps_m \times Nq}$. Then Eq.(A1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$X_{l21} (I_N \otimes S) = (I_N \otimes G_1) X_{l21} + (I_N \otimes G_2) (CX_{l11}) \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$X_{l22} (I_N \otimes S) = (I_N \otimes G_1) X_{l22} + (I_N \otimes G_2) \left(CX_{l12} - I_N \otimes \frac{1}{M} F_r \right). \quad (\text{A3})$$

Next, we will prove that $CX_{l11} = 0$. To begin with, let

$$X_{l21} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{l11} & \dots & X^{l1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X^{l(Np)1} & \dots & X^{l(Np)N} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$CX_{l11} = \Omega_l = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^{l11} & \dots & \Omega^{l1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Omega^{l(Np)1} & \dots & \Omega^{l(Np)N} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $X^{lij} \in \mathbb{R}^{sm \times q}$, $\Omega^{lij} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$, $i = 1, \dots, Np$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

By the definition of G_1 and G_2 in (6), and $\gamma_i = \gamma$, $\sigma_i = \sigma$ in (8), Eq.(A2) is equivalent as

$$X^{lij} S = \gamma X^{lij} + \sigma \Omega^{lij}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

Let

$$X^{lij} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1^{lij} \\ X_2^{lij} \\ \vdots \\ X_{s_m}^{lij} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $X_k^{lij} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$, $k = 1, \dots, s_m$ being the k -th row of X^{lij} . Combining (8) and (A4) provides:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1^{lij} \\ X_2^{lij} \\ \vdots \\ X_{s_m}^{lij} \end{pmatrix} S = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} X_1^{lij} \\ X_2^{lij} \\ \vdots \\ X_{s_m}^{lij} \end{pmatrix} + \sigma \Omega^{lij},$$

which can be written as

$$X_k^{lij} S = X_{k+1}^{lij}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, s_m - 1, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$X_{s_m}^{lij} S + a_{s_m} X_1^{lij} + a_{s_m-1} X_2^{lij} + \dots + a_1 X_{s_m}^{lij} = \Omega^{lij}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

Substituting A5 into A6:

$$\Omega^{lij} = X_1^{lij} (S^{s_m} + a_1 S^{s_m-1} + \dots + a_{s_m} I_q),$$

Since the matrix S has a minimal polynomial which divides the characteristic polynomial of G_1 , $S^{s_m} + a_1 S^{s_m-1} + \dots + a_{s_m} I_q = 0$ and $\Omega^{lij} = \mathbf{0}$, $i = 1, \dots, Np$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. i.e.

$$CX_{l11} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Similarly, from the equation (A3), one has:

$$CX_{l12} - I_N \otimes \frac{1}{M} F_r = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

The compact form of $\tilde{e}_i = C_i x_i - F_r \eta_i$ is $\tilde{e} = Cx - (I_N \otimes F_r) \eta$. The error e can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} e &= (\mathcal{H} \otimes I_p) Cx - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{H}_l \otimes F_r) \bar{\omega}_l \\ &= (\mathcal{H} \otimes I_p) Cx - (\mathcal{H} \otimes I_p) (I_N \otimes F_r) \eta \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{H} \otimes I_p) (I_N \otimes F_r) \eta - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{H}_l \otimes F_r) \bar{\omega}_l \\ &= (\mathcal{H} \otimes I_p) \tilde{e} + (\mathcal{H} \otimes F_r) \left(\eta - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{H}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_l \otimes I_q) \bar{\omega}_l \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\mathcal{H} \otimes I_p) \tilde{e} + (\mathcal{H} \otimes F_r) \tilde{\eta}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

Consider $\tilde{e}_i = C_i x_i - F_r \eta_i$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e} &= Cx - (I_N \otimes F_r) \eta \\ &= C_c x_c - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} D_{cl} v_l, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

where $C_c = \begin{pmatrix} C & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$, $D_{cl} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I_N \otimes \frac{1}{M} F_r \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, combining (A7) and (A8) acquires

$$\begin{aligned} C_c X_l - D_{cl} &= \begin{pmatrix} C_c X_{l11} & C_c X_{l12} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I_N \otimes \frac{1}{M} F_r \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} C_c X_{l11} & C_c X_{l12} - I_N \otimes \frac{1}{M} F_r \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

Let $\tilde{x}_c = x_c - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l v_l$, then the following is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} E_z \dot{\tilde{x}}_c &= E_z \dot{x}_c - E_z \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l \dot{v}_l \\ &= A_c x_c + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} B_{cl} v_l \\ &\quad - E_z \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l \begin{pmatrix} (I_N \otimes S) \bar{\omega}_l \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = \dot{\eta} = (I_N \otimes S + \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q)) \eta - \mu \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{H}_l \otimes I_q) \bar{\omega}_l$, and the fact

$$\begin{pmatrix} (I_N \otimes S) \bar{\omega}_l \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_N \otimes S) \bar{\omega}_l \\ (I_N \otimes S) \eta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q) \tilde{\eta} \end{pmatrix},$$

yields:

$$\begin{aligned} E_z \dot{\tilde{x}}_c &= A_c x_c + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} B_{cl} v_l - E_z \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l \begin{pmatrix} (I_N \otimes S) \bar{\omega}_l \\ (I_N \otimes S) \eta \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - E_z \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q) \tilde{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= A_c x_c + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} B_{cl} v_l - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} E_z X_l (I_{2N} \otimes S) v_l \\ &\quad - E_z \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q) \tilde{\eta} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

According to (A2), one gets:

$$E_z \dot{\tilde{x}}_c = A_c \tilde{x}_c + \Theta \tilde{\eta}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

in which, $\Theta = -E_z \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q) \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $\varphi = (\tilde{x}_c^T, \tilde{\eta}^T)^T$, then

$$E_d \dot{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} A_c & \Theta \\ \mathbf{0} & (I_N \otimes S) + \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q) \end{pmatrix} \varphi, \quad (\text{A14})$$

in which, $E_d = \begin{pmatrix} E_z & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{Nq} \end{pmatrix}$. From the proof that (E_z, A_c) is stable, and $(I_N \otimes S) + \mu(\mathcal{H} \otimes I_q)$ is Hurwitz. Then, it can be concluded that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi = 0$. Thus, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_c = 0$.

Additionally, the error \tilde{e} in (A10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e} &= C_c x_c - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} D_{cl} v_l \\ &= C_c \left(\tilde{x}_c + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} X_l v_l \right) - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} D_{cl} v_l \\ &= C_c \tilde{x}_c + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{M}} (C_c X_l - D_{cl}) v_l \\ &= C_c \tilde{x}_c. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

Therefore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{e} = 0$, means $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e = 0$, and the containment control problem for singular multi-agent systems has been solved.